## COUNCIL OF THE ISLES OF SCILLY

# Proposed Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) - (The Council of the Isles of Scilly) 2016 Dog Control 

A public consultation on a proposed Public Spaces Protection Order in relation to dog control ran from Friday 29 April 2016 and concluded on Friday 10 June 2016.

A total of 129 completed questionnaires were received and the following report details the decisions made by respondents.

Results are displayed by using bar charts and are presented as the total respondents, resident dog owners and resident non-dog owners.

The proposed PSPO intends to replace a number of out of date byelaws and introduce offences to create a more comprehensive and consistent approach when dealing with issues such as dog fouling, keeping dogs on leads in designated areas and excluding dogs from designated areas.
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## General Respondent Profiles

Figure 1 - Respondent profile (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) based on residency status.


Summary: Of 129 respondents, 108 were full time residents, 3 were seasonal residents and 15 were visitors and 3 respondents made no response.

Figure 2 - Respondent profile (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) based on postcode.


Figure 3 - Respondent profile (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) based on age group.


Figure 4 - Respondent profile (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) based on dog ownership.


Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents, 63 were dog owners and 60 were non-dog owners.

Figure 5 - Respondent profile (full time residents and seasonal residents) based on dog ownership.


Summary: Of 111 full time and seasonal resident respondents, 58 indicated they were dog owners and 50 indicated they were non-dog owners.

## Dog Fouling Enforcement

Figure 6 - Respondent (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) decisions in relation to the creation of an offence if a person in charge of a dog fails to remove and suitably dispose of its faeces forthwith.


Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents, 114 agreed with the proposal to create an offence if a person in charge of a dog fails to remove and suitably dispose of its faeces forthwith.

Figure 7 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident dog owners) decisions in relation to the creation of an offence if a person in charge of a dog fails to remove and suitably dispose of its faeces forthwith.


Summary: Of 58 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are dog owners, 46 agreed with the proposal to create the offence if a person in charge of a dog fails to remove and suitably dispose of its faeces forthwith.

Figure 8 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident non-dog owners) decisions in relation to the creation of an offence if a person in charge of a dog fails to remove and suitably dispose of its faeces forthwith.


Summary: Of 50 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are non-dog owners, 48 agreed with the proposal to create the offence if a person in charge of a dog fails to remove and suitably dispose of its faeces forthwith.

## Seasonal Beach Exclusion

Figure 9 - Respondent (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) decisions in relation to the proposal to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthcressa Beach, Porthmellon Beach and Old Town Beach (from 1 May until 30 September inclusive).


Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents, 101 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthcressa Beach, 96 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthmellon Beach and 92 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Old Town Beach.

Figure 10 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthcressa Beach, Porthmellon Beach and Old Town Beach (from 1 May to 30 September inclusive).


Summary: Of 58 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are dog owners, 41 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthcressa Beach, 38 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthmellon Beach and 38 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Old Town Beach.

Figure 11 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident non-dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthcressa Beach, Porthmellon Beach and Old Town Beach (from May 1 until 30 September inclusive).


Summary: Of 50 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are non-dog owners, 43 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthcressa Beach, 41 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Porthmellon Beach and 40 agreed to continue the seasonal exclusion of dogs from Old Town Beach.

## Permanent Exclusion Areas

Figure 12 - Respondent (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce an exclusion of dogs from designated areas.


Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents, the majority agreed with the proposed dog exclusion areas with the exception of The Garrison Playing Field which showed 68 were in agreement, 44 were opposed and 13 didn't know.

Figure 13 - Respondent (full time residents and seasonal resident dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce an exclusion of dogs from designated areas.


Summary: Of 58 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are dog owners, the majority agreed with the proposed dog exclusion areas with the exception of The Garrison Playing Field which showed 19 were in agreement 32 were opposed and 5 didn't know.

Figure 14 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident non-dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce an exclusion of dogs from designated areas.


Summary: Of 50 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are non-dog owners, the majority agreed with the proposed dog exclusion areas.

## Dogs on Leads - Designated Streets

Figure 15 - Respondent (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated streets.


Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents, the majority agreed with the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated streets.

Figure 16 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated streets.


Summary: Of 58 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are dog owners, the majority agreed with the proposal, however approximately two fifths of respondents were opposed to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated streets.

Figure 17 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident non-dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated streets.


Summary: Of 50 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are non-dog owners, the majority agreed with the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated streets.

## Dogs on Leads - Church Grounds

Figure 18 - Respondent (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated church grounds.


Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents the majority agreed with the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated church grounds.

Figure 19 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated church grounds.


Summary: Of 58 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are dog owners, the majority agreed with the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated church grounds.

Figure 20 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident non-dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated church grounds.


Summary: Of 50 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are non-dog owners, the majority agreed with the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated church grounds.

## Dog on Leads - Bird Breeding Areas

Figure 21 - Respondent (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated bird breeding grounds during the breeding season (1 April to 30 September inclusive).


Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents, the majority agreed with the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated bird breeding grounds during the breeding season (1 April to 30 September inclusive).

Figure 22 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated bird breeding grounds during the breeding season (1 April to 30 September inclusive).


Summary: Of 58 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are dog owners, the results do not present a clear majority either for or against the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated bird breeding grounds during the breeding season ( 1 April to 30 September inclusive).

Figure 23 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident non-dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated bird breeding grounds during the breeding season (1 April to 30 September inclusive).


Summary: Of 50 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are non-dog owners, the majority agreed with the proposal to introduce a requirement for dogs to be kept on leads in designated bird breeding grounds during the breeding season (1 April to 30 September inclusive).

## Dogs on Leads by Direction of Authorised Officer

Figure 24 - Respondent (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce an offence to fail to put a dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer.


Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents, 81 agreed with the proposal to introduce an offence to fail to put a dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer.

Figure 25 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce an offence to fail to put a dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer.


Summary: Of 58 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are dog owners, approximately half of respondents were opposed to the proposal to introduce an offence to fail to put a dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer with 30 opposing, 27 in agreement and 3 didn't know.

Figure 26 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident non-dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce an offence to fail to put a dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer.


Summary: Of 50 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are non-dog owners, 42 agreed with the proposal to introduce an offence to fail to put a dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer.

## Limitations on Number of Dogs Walked at Any One Time

Figure 27 - Respondent (full time residents, seasonal residents and visitors) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a restriction on the number of dogs that a person may walk at one time.


[^0]Figure 28 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a restriction on the number of dogs that a person may walk at one time.


Summary: Of 58 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are dog owners, half were opposed to the proposal to introduce a restriction on the number of dogs that a person may walk at one time.

Figure 29 - Respondent (full time and seasonal resident non-dog owners) decisions in relation to the proposal to introduce a restriction on the number of dogs that a person may walk at one time.


Summary: Of 50 full time and seasonal resident respondents who indicated they are non-dog owners, the majority agreed with the proposal to introduce a restriction on the number of dogs that a person may walk at one time.


[^0]:    Summary: Of 129 full time resident, seasonal resident and visitor respondents, approximately half agreed with the proposal to introduce a restriction on the number of dogs that a person may walk at one time.

