Dean Ciaran

From: Planning (Isles of Scilly)

Subject: Karma planning application P18/051

----Original Message-----

From: Provided

Sent: 01 August 2018 18:10

To: Planning (Isles of Scilly) <planning@scilly.gov.uk>

Subject: Karma planning application P18/051

As the Hotels closest resident I am dismayed and concerned about Karmas application to increase their capacity and footprint. On a personal level I feel it will further increase the negative impact the already heightened noise and disturbance myself and my family experience during the night hours. I accept the disturbance during the day and early evening as I am aware I live next door to a hotel/resort.

I take issue with Karmas placement of the "glamping tents" mainly for the reason of overlooking the property I live in and thus further decreasing my privacy let alone the visual impact along the face of the hill as their preposed clients will certainly want a view out to the west and feel it would only be a matter of time before Karma provided this by removing an increasing amount of the vegetation coverage around this area.

I cannot see how the access to the area can be facilitated without major alterations and expansion of the footpath leading to this area thus encroaching on a area of the island which has been deliberately and purposely maintained as wilderness and natural habitat. As the council will be aware if they visit the preposed site there certainly cannot be access for any service vehicles or indeed fire appliances to this area without major alterations to the existing path from the west or massive infrastructure placement to allow access along the spine of the island from the east. Fairly major alterations would need to be made even to allow guests to have safe access to the site. On a aside the increased traffic around the lowertown area is already a concern for myself as my home seems to be a roundabout for the hotel vehicles.

The heritage and archeology sectors have raised valid concerns about this application.

The issue of waste management and disposal has been underplayed by Karma when this is a issue that they struggle with at present, let alone with the proposed increase in capacity and load. I cannot see how this proposal will benefit the island in any significant way and will only actually positively benefit the Karma group whilst placing more pressure on one of the main attractions I believe to the island namely its peace, serenity and unspoilt nature, as for the statement that they are a principle employer on the island that is I believe a fairly large exaggeration to say the least.

I strongly object to this proposal and hope the council refuses this application.

Regards

C Charlton