

COUNCIL OF THE ISLES OF SCILLY

Application Number: EIA-25-001	Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment)
Screened by: Lisa Walton Chief Planning Officer	(England and Wales) Regulations 2017 (SI No. 571)
Ü	Regulation 6: screening opinion checklist
Date : 27 June 2025	Screening request under Regulation 6 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 2017 SI No. 571

Request	for a formal EIA Screening Opinion	Yes or No
1	Is this a Schedule 1 development?	No
	If YES – EIA development, EIA required, If N	NO – go to Box 2.
2	Is this a Schedule 2 Development?	Yes
	Which category	3(a)
a)	Is it of a description mentioned in column 2 of the table in Schedule 2?	No
	If YES – go to 2(b) and (c); If NO – not Schedule 2 development,	no EIA required.
b)	Is any part of the site in a 'sensitive area'?	Yes
	(i.e. SSSI, AONB, World Heritage site, SAC, schedule	d monument etc)
c)	Is any applicable threshold or criterion in the table in Schedule 2 exceeded or met in relation to the development?	No <5km
	If YES to either 2(b) or (c) – Schedule 2 development, If NO to both 2(b) AND (c) – not Schedule 2 development,	
3	Would the development site/proposal be likely to have	no
	significant effects on the environment because of factors such as its nature, size or location?	
	If YES – EIA development, EIA required. If NO – not EIA development,	no EIA required.

Screening Opinion - reason(s) for decision:

The development falls within Schedule 2 part 10(b).

NOTE: Use the following headings taken from Schedule 3 of the Regs to help define the proposal and its potential for generating significant environmental effects.

1. The characteristics of development must be considered having regard in particular to:

	The works include the undergrounding of approximately 2210	
a) the size of the development;	m of electricity cable Old Town and around the Lower Moors	
. ,	SSSI.	
b) the accumulation with other	Name Image	
development;	None known	
,		
c) the use of natural resources;	Digging of soil, disruption of ground root of hedges,	
-,		
d) the production of waste;	None	
, ,		
	Digging of hard surfaces could generate dust, noise, vibration	
	and other sources of nuisance . This will need to be controlled:	
	Dust	
	Spraying water to suppress dust, for example damping	
	down excavation sites during construction;	
	 If feasible, excavations and earthworks activities 	
	should be avoided during very dry or windy weather;	
	Applying sheeting / a covering over soil stockpiles	
	(such as excavated soils to be stored for backfilling);	
	and	
	Cleaning the road / track near to the works location, as	
	required.	
	required.	
	Noise and Vibration	
e) pollution and nuisances;	Construction contractors should adhere to the codes of	
,	practice for construction work given in BS 5228-	
	1:2009-Code of practice for noise and vibration control	
	on construction and open sites – Noise and the	
	guidance given therein regarding minimising noise	
	from the site;	
	Construction plant and equipment should comply with	
	UK noise emissions limits;	
	Implementation of a no-idling policy for vehicles; and	
	Site fencing / hoarding to be erected prior to the	
	commencement of construction.	
	Light	
	a It is not considered likely that construction activities	
	It is not considered likely that construction activities, auch as execution or installation, would execut during	
	such as excavation or installation, would occur during	
	night-time and therefore require lighting. However, if	
	construction lighting was required measures may	
	include:	

	 Turning off lights when not in use to minimise light spill away from the site; Switching off lighting when not required; Undertaking daily assessments for need and appropriateness of task lighting; and Ensuring that light scatter is minimised through height and direction adjustment.
f) the risk of accidents, having regard in particular to substances or technologies used.	As with any construction project there is some risk of incidents and accidents. Contractors carrying out the works will need to have an emergency plan in place during construction in accordance with the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999. The emergency plan must detail planned procedures that should be followed, should an emergency arise such as flooding, explosions and serious injuries. It is unlikely that there will be a significant effect from major accidents or disasters. There is a low potential risk of accidents associated with the project.
g) The risks to human health (for example, due to water contamination or air pollution).	The construction of the proposed scheme is likely to cause short-term disruption to public receptors on the island. The Principal Contractor will need to undertake Risk Assessment Method Statement (RAMS) which will be appended to the CEMP. Topics covered will include: Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH); Safe driving; Digging around services; and Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) requirements.

2. The environmental sensitivity of geographical areas likely to be affected by development must be considered having regard, in particular, to:

a) the existing land use;	The land is largely undeveloped land comprising various agricultural fields, but a number of sites are within or very close to natural and historic designations including SPA/SAC/SM/ACA
b) the relative abundance, quality and regenerative capacity of natural resources in the area;	In this context 'natural resources' has been taken to mean those resources which exist naturally and can be used to attribute or derive value, including biodiversity interests and the natural landscape.
	Due to the location, it is considered that the impact of the works, if care is taken, would be very unlikely to have a significant impact.

	Overall there is a high abundance of high-quality natural resources, both coastal at countryside of both designated international importance and local nature reserves.
c) the absorption capacity of the natural environment, paying particular attention to the following areas:	The absorption capacity of the natural environment is considered to be high. However, there are a number of delicate natural environment (V) and historic designations (VIII):
 I. Wetlands; II. Coastal zones; III. Mountain and forest areas; IV. Nature reserves and parks; V. Areas classified or protected under Member states' legislation; areas designated by Member States pursuant to Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the conservation of Wild Birds (a) and Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (b); VI. Area in which the environmental quality standards laid down in Community legislation have already been exceeded; VII. Densely populated areas; VIII. Landscapes of historical, cultural or archaeological significance; 	 Lower Moors SSSI – adjacent to undergrounding works, in part. 10.12 hectares currently in an unfavourable and declining condition. ➤ 2 Scheduled Monuments, the closest of which are: Harry's Walls – located approximately 137 m to the north of the closest section Ennor Castle – located approximately 47m to the north of the closest section

3. The potential significant effects of development must be considered in relation to criteria set out under paragraphs 1 and 2 above, and having regard in particular to:

a) The extent of the impact (geographical areas and size of the affected population);	The landscape and visual impacts would be experienced by receptors, including those living nearby, but with limited extent from longer distance views.	
	It is considered that the development would not generate any impact with respect to landscape and visual impact in relation to the Regulations as the works would have no long-term visual impact.	
b) The nature of the impact;	The proposed scheme lies within two sites within the National Site Network (hereafter referred to as Habitats Sites) and lies approximately 191m from another Habitat Site. As such there is potential for significant effects to occur which must be assessed in line with Regulation 63 of the	

Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). The EIA notes that a separate report to Inform HRA (covering both stage 1 and stage 2) will be submitted as part of the planning application. No significant cumulative effects with other nearby schemes have been identified.

It is possible that there could be some localised changes in the surroundings of the proposed scheme, such as production of dust, emissions, noise, and vibration associated with the excavation of the trench and installation of the pipe. However, these changes are not likely to significantly affect the European sites which are located at the coast (and not in immediate proximity to the proposed scheme) or the features of the SSSI (despite the closer proximity). The qualifying features of the European Sites are focused on a more coastal location than where the proposed scheme is located which reduces potential for interactions and disturbance for the majority of the qualifying habitats and species.

c) The transfrontier/transboundary nature of the impact;

Installing underground cabling close to a water environment can have several environmental impacts, but not across international boundaries in this case. The principal contractor will need to consider:

Water Quality: digging trenches can pose risks to water bodies through potential leaks or spills, which can lead to contamination of waters and affect water habitats and connected species. This contamination can spread across borders, and impact coastal ecosystems.

Habitat Disruption: The construction and maintenance of underground cables can disrupt habitats, affecting both terrestrial and marine ecosystems. This disruption can lead to habitat fragmentation and loss, which can have transboundary effects on migratory species and shared ecosystems.

Soil Erosion and Sedimentation: underground cabling construction involves land disturbance, which can lead to soil erosion and increased sedimentation in coastal waters. This sediment can travel across borders, and although low risk in this case does have the potential to impact water quality and marine habitats in neighboring countries.

The scale of the works are unlikely to result in trans-frontier boundary impacts due to small scale nature.

d) The magnitude and complexity of the impact;

The impacts from construction on the site would be temporary.

	The intensity of the impact is likely to be relative	ely limited in
	terms of a visual envelope.	
e) The probability of the impact;	Construction impacts, although temporary, could result in some impacts upon the locality.	
	The proposal would not have an impact upon th and appearance of the area.	e character
f) The expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact;	The development of the site for the purposes in would be in perpetuity but would be reversible, a could be redeveloped/restored in the future. The characteristics of the completed development would unchanging in the broader sense.	and the site
g) The cumulation of the impact with the impact of other existing and/or approved development;	There are some significant developments taking currently within St Mary's but not within the vicir site.	•
h) The possibility of effectively reducing the impact.	High if the works are carried out in accordance with appropriate controlled measures.	
Q1 Is it a major development w	hich is of more than local importance?	No
Q2 Does it affect a particularly location?	environmentally sensitive or vulnerable	Yes
Q3 Does it have unusually complex and potentially hazardous environmental effects?		No
Conclusion		
Having regard to the characteristics, scale and potential impacts of the development, the proposal would not amount to EIA development. The decision is based on the information known at the time and selection criteria for screening Schedule 2 development (Schedule 3) and the indicative thresholds and paragraphs 017, 018 and 023 of Planning Practice Guidance.		
Environmental Impact Assessment	t	Not Required