

The Parade Hugh Town St Mary's Isles of Scilly TR21 OLP

Tele: 01720 422711 e-mail evergreen.scilly@btinternet.com

Web site <u>www.evergreencottageguesthouse.co.uk</u>

Dear Planning Department

Thank you for your letter regarding the addition of a conservatory to our adjoining property Pelistery cottage, we have some concerns regarding this as follows

- In 2007 a significant extension was added to this property which included a roof structure built into Evergreen Cottage without our permission, we were away at the time and returned to find a framed home 8 feet longer than the previous flat roof lean to extension now being supported structurally by our roof Evergreen cottage. This was oversized and "trimmed" leaving rain water going upwards under our roof tiles,
- While we did not insist this was dismantled we did ask for a legal parting wall agreement, with emphasis on the legal position of their actions, this has never happened despite several efforts on our behalf.
- Newly constructed valleys have not been maintained despite an oral agreement to do so, leading in a build up of sand, vegetation and other debris. Which we have had to clear personally. This has contributed to dampness in Evergreen Cottage. And indeed we feel a listing of the roof due to excess load never engineered for, causing cracking in the west side for our pointed tile roof and subsequent water damage.
- There was no provision for repelling water in the previous extension therefore any further rain water runoff will simply amplify an already problematic underlying issue for old granite cottages with no proper foundations without careful consideration.
- While viewable plans are vague regarding measurements we are concerned as to how close this could be to the Evergreen Cottage house wall and the ability to ensure maintenance, equally getting safe excess to the roof above which as stated earlier have not been maintained despite no such obstacles.

While in principal we have no objection to the idea, on this occasion we feel we have no option but to object to this proposal without the above points being considered, fuelled princely by previous issues of building projects never finalised.

Many thanks		