



THE DISRISKY, OLD TOWN LANE, OLD TOWN, TRIZI
 RUNNING APPRECIATION 31/05/2018
 Doc Ref: A18807 - REPORT ON ELM TREES RISKS

Does it really matter if there is a tree near a building?

P-18-01

findings of the Kew Root Survey, (significantly updated in 1989), the experience of the BRE digest and several other older studies.

RECEIVED
 30 MAY 2018

Common Name	Latin Name	Max. tree-to-damage distance (m)	Distance within which 90% of damage cases were found (m)	Distance within which 75% of damage cases were found (m)
Willow	Salix	40	18	11
Oak	Quercus	30	18	13
Poplar	Populus	30	20	15
Elm	Ulmus	25	19	12
Horse chestnut	Aesculus	23	15	10
Ash	Fraxinus	21	13	10
Lime	Tilia	20	11	8
Maple	Acer	20	12	9
Cypresses	Cupressus & Chamaecyparis	20 17	5 -	3.5 -
Hornbeam	Carpinus	15	10	-
Plane	Platanus	12	11	7.5
Beech	Fagus	12	11	9
Hawthorn	Crataegus	11	9	7
Rowan & whitebeam	Sorbus	11	10	7
Cherries	Prunus	11	8	6
Birch	Betula	10	8	7
Elder	Sambucus	8	-	-
Walnut	Juglans	8	-	-
Laburnum	Laburnum	7	-	-
Fig	Ficus	5	-	-
Lilac	Syringia	4	-	-
False Acacia	Robinia	13.5	10	8.5
Apple	Malus	10	8	6
Pear	Pyrus	10	8	6

* TREES ADJACENT TO DISTILLERY ARE ONLY 4M + LTR FROM PEAR AND RESPECTIVE RISKS TO FOUNDATION AND NEAR DRAINAGE RUNS ?

Figure Findings of the Key Report 1989 (Cutler & Richardson, 1989)

- All species of trees are simply categorized as, "high", "moderate" or "low" water demanders. Species in the high group are generally considered to extend their influence on soil moisture levels over a distance of 125% the height of the tree. Moderate water demanders such as sycamore and cherry extend their influence over 75% of their height and low water demanders such as holly and beech extend their influence over 50% of their height.