

Dean Ciaran

From: Planning (Isles of Scilly)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Karma application P/18/051

-----Original Message-----

From: christov1@sky.com <PROVIDED>
Sent: 24 November 2018 07:27
To: Planning (Isles of Scilly) <planning@scilly.gov.uk>
Subject: Karma application P/18/051

At first I thought I would just copy, cut and paste my previous objection as Karma has not addressed any of my previous concerns only dismissed them as the objections of a minority!. I do note that there are more objections than support in the applications list and feel that this disparaging stance is illustrative of Karma's attitude to the island as a whole. My objections to the noise and disturbance have been dismissed because I have not gone through the official channels namely involving environmental services, I now regret not doing this but had hoped that by approaching the incumbent manager a number of times the issues could be addressed in a neighbourly way. It seems I was a fool to attempt this as the late night staff party's in Karma's barn often till 3 in the morning continued throughout the summer as did the noise from the area adjacent to my property and around the entrance to the hotel. Leading to my concern of any expansion of capacity with Karma's proposal that will only exacerbate the problem. Whilst on the subject of noise and disturbance can I ask if Karma plan on continuing to have helicopters land and take off? continue to play loud music on the lawn in front of the hotel and do anything about the hotel porters excessive vehicle speed around my property?

The problem of access to the proposed area has not been answered satisfactorily and I am sure following the councillors site visit it is apparent that without major infrastructure placement access even for pedestrians is difficult let alone transporting luggage, supply's and waste to and from the site. A vague allusion to using a quad to move waste etc is not good enough even considering the wall of text surrounding it.

The assurance that waste will be handled properly is in my opinion dubious to say the least when the bio disk has not been functioning properly for a time this season following an electrical fire within the disk area and a faulty pump that moves the waste from one area to another not being replaced for some time.

Unfortunately the road on St Martins has been deteriorating quite badly with the general increased vehicle traffic and any enlargement of capacity at Karma is only going to aggravate this problem. Our single track road is a fine balance between pedestrian use and necessary vehicle use which at present just manages to accommodate both the visitors ability to walk and enjoy the island and local services to function.

I can only restate my previous objections and I still feel that this proposal whilst probably profitable to the Karma group does not bring anything positive to the island only problems and a slow creep towards ruining what the majority of visitors to the Islands come for namely the peace, tranquility, unspoilt nature and I might add to feel and be part of the close community that exists here. I apologise if my representation is not so objective as my previous but I feel strongly about this proposal and think the whole picture needs to be taken into account when considering this application and not be lost amongst Karma's long list of correspondence.

Chris Charlton