
From: Stuart Moore
Sent: 30 October 2020 12:04
To: Planning (Isles of Scilly)
Subject: Planning Application Representation; P/20/086

Hello IOS council planning department,

I would like to object to this amendment for the following reasons....

As has been previously stated on social media, this scheme to install 20 EV charging points is not popular with the tax payer at large and is a complete waste of public money that now has to be spent in its entirety because the IOS council no longer have money to return it, having wasted a third of it on wages, office space and consultations etc

Originally a planning application had been submitted for four EV charging points in the Mermaid carpark and four more outside Sibleys fuel supplies, however due to public pressure and common sense prevailing these sites are now going have the number of EV charging points reduced, however because the EV scheme needs to provide 20 charging points to keep to the conditions of its publically funded grant they still need to provide 20 EV charging points in total, so all the scheme administrators are doing is just redistributing the EV charging points to what they hope are less contentious locations around the island, Telegraph being one of them. My objection would be that if we didn't need two EV charging points in the first place when the initial planning application was made, then why do we need two now?

What is the business case for this decision to relocate?

Has the EV scheme provided the planning dept with any rational, documentation or evidence to suggest that such an additional charging point is warranted or required other than having to meet an arbitrary quota as defined by its grant funding?.

The residents of telegraph already have cars so why does the EV scheme think that everyone is suddenly going to give up their own personal motor vehicles and start using a shared electric vehicle. The aspirations of this scheme have no business case to support them and any decisions made such as this additional charging point is based purely on the whim of the schemes administrators with no evidence to support their decision.

Telegraph is a remote area un-blighted by unnecessary infrastructure or dwellings and even the one public payphone is soon to be removed through lack of use, so the provision of unnecessary and unwanted infrastructure just to meet the schemes requirements for 20 EV charging points is unwarranted and should not be allowed past the planning stage.

Yours sincerely,
Stuart Moore